Primary Production: can we get there from ocean optics and remote sensing?

Mary Jane Perry University of Maine

Darling Marine Center & School of Marine Sciences



RARGOM, 12 August 2003

Participation in Observing-related Activities

- Participant in Ocean.US workshop, March 2002, that lead to report on "Building Consensus: Toward an Integrated and Sustained Ocean Observing System"
- National Science Foundation Advisory Committee on GeoSciences, 2000 - 2002
- National Science Foundation Advisory Committee on Environmental Research and Education (and chaired task group on sensors and observing systems), 2000 - 2002
- Co-chair ALPS workshop, April 2003, sponsored by NSF and ONR, on autonomous observing systems
- Participant WHOI workshop on Next Generation of Biological and Chemical Sensors, July 2003

Goal of NEOS, RARGOM, GOMOOS:

Continued development toward a sustained Ocean Observing System for Gulf of Maine

Charge today:

- What is the present status of long-term observations ?
- What we aren't measuring that we should be ?
- What are the gaps, opportunities, and links among researchers and institutions ?

Underlying Question:

how will changes in ocean temperature, circulation, and other physics affect primary productivity?



Northern Hemisphere Average Surface Temperature From J. Runge'sRargom talk; plot from Mann et al. (1999) GRL 26:759-762

Fundamental question:

What do we want?

Biomass, P, from optics (satellites and moorings)

or

Rate of change, growth parameters, photosynthesis

What was the historical status of long-term observations of <u>phytoplankton and primary</u> <u>productivity</u> in the GOM?

Historical observations:

- ship-based
- individual investigator or multi-PI coordinated programs
- not sustained

What is the present status of long-term observations of <u>phytoplankton and primary productivity</u> in the GOM?

Current status:

- ship-based, individual or multi-PI programs
- GOMOOS
 - * satellite remote sensing of ocean color, etc.
 - *** optical moorings**
- regional interest in moving toward sustained, long-term observations

GOMOOS satellite remote sensing Andrew Thomas, University of Maine

Ocean color *

NASA's SeaWiFS (Sea-viewing Wide Field of View Sensor) Near-surface phytoplankton biomass Cloud-free conditions Spatial resolution: 1.1 km Frequency of images: ~ every other day; composites

Sea surface temperature *

NOAA's AVHRR (Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer) Ocean surface temperatures Cloud-free conditions Spatial resolution: 1.1 km Frequency of images: 4-6 per day

Winds

NASA's SeaWinds on QuikSCAT satellite Speed and direction of near-surface winds All weather conditions. Spatial resolution: 0.25 degree Coverage Frequency: twice a day

Ocean color from SeaWiFS











QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

May



June



August

2002 SeaWiFS monthly composites



March

April

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

2002

March

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

April

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

2002Sea Surface Temperature2003

GOMOOS Optical Moorings Collin Roesler, Bigelow Labs











What's missing?

Satellites:

MODIS and other ocean color images

-- more coverage of satellite biomass

sea surface temperature through clouds (microwave) -- more information on forcing

altimetry ?

Moorings:

optics and nutrients on all moorings w/ synoptic physics

Rationale: better coverage of biomass and physical forcings

What's still missing?

Satellites and Moorings:

pretty good coverage of biomass

Still lack spatial interpolation and depth





Fluorescence, Washington Coast April-May 2002



What's still missing?

Satellites and Moorings:

pretty good coverage of biomass

AUVs:

better spatial and depth

Rate parameters for dP/dt:

dP = P (gain - loss) / dt

P from optics (satellites and moorings) gain from photosynthesis and growth loss from grazing or sinking *NB: advection ignored here for simplicity*

Need physiological parameters

Primary production

 $\mathsf{PS} = \mathsf{a}^* \mathsf{E}^* \Phi$

where

- PS = primary production
- a = absorption (~ from phytoplankton biomass)
- E = irradiance

Loss

Mortality from grazing

Need physiological parameters

How to get them?

Systematic ship-based programs to build up a library of physiological parameters.

Species - still can't get them from space

Recommendations

- Cooperation divide the work & conquer
- Analysis of uncertainty what areas and where need more observing resources
- AUVs for spatial and depth
- Ship surveys for physiological parameters (photosynthesis and grazing)
- Fishing fleet like Sea Keepers